1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 MICHAEL BANKS, et al., 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0742 MCE GGH P 12 VS. 13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed July 13, 2005, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days 16 17 leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and 18 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or a habeas petition or otherwise responded to the 19 court's order. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 21 without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 22 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 23 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty 24 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written 25 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the 26

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951	
2	2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
3	3 DATED: 9/19/05	
4		' Hallawa
5	/s/ Gregory C	ATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	6 GGH:035	ATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
7	7 bank0742.fta	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	